

## THE EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC PUMPING UNIT PUMP AS AN ARTIFICIAL LIFT METHOD IN WELL BUDI#04

Diky Pranondo<sup>1\*</sup>, Tiara Rosalinda<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Teknik Eksplorasi Produksi Migas, Politeknik Akamigas Palembang  
Corresponding author: diky@pap.ac.id

**ABSTRAK:** Minyak mentah di suatu lapangan seiring berjalannya waktu akan mengalami penurunan baik laju produksi maupun tekanan reservoir yang berfungsi untuk mengangkat ke permukaan secara alamiah. Oleh karena itu, apabila produksi minyak mentah dan gas bumi suatu sumur telah mengalami penurunan laju produksi dan tekanan reservoir, maka diperlukan upaya lebih lanjut untuk mempertahankan dan meningkatkan produksi sumur tersebut. Kegiatan produksi minyak dari suatu sumur dapat dilakukan dengan dua pengalaman, yaitu penurunan produksi yang pada awalnya dapat menghasilkan kurang lebih 150 BOPD, turun menjadi 70 BOPD hingga 20 BOPD, namun produksi kembali meningkat hingga 150-190 BOPD setelah dipasang Hydraulic Pumping Unit (HPU). Setelah pemasangan pompa HPU, dilakukan evaluasi untuk melihat efisiensi volumetrik pompa. Berdasarkan hasil analisis data sumur, data produksi, data reservoir, dan data pompa dengan laju produksi total ( $Q_t$ ) sebesar 254.663 BFPD, laju produksi minyak ( $Q_o$ ) sebesar 164.211 BOPD, water cut sebesar 34,2%, kecepatan langkah pompa ( $N$ ) sebesar 5 SPM, dan langkah pompa ( $S$ ) sebesar 120 inchi, maka didapatkan efisiensi volumetrik pompa sebesar 92,32% dengan kapasitas pompa sebesar 275.850 BFPD.

**Kata Kunci:** Efisiensi Volumetrik Pompa, Perpindahan Pompa, Produksi, Pengangkatan Buatan dan Unit Pemompaan Hidraulik.

**ABSTRACT:** Crude oil in a field by the time will experience a decrease both in its production rate and reservoir pressure, which functions to lift to the surface naturally. Therefore, if a well's crude oil and gas production has experienced a decrease in production rate and reservoir pressure, further effort is needed to maintain and increase the well production. The oil production activity of a well can be conducted in two experience: production decreases, which at the beginning could produce approximately 150 BOPD, decrease to 70 BOPD until 20 BOPD, but the production increases again up to 150-190 BOPD after a Hydraulic Pumping Unit (HPU) was installed. After installing the HPU pump, an evaluation was carried out to observe the pump's volumetric efficiency. Based on the analysis of the well data, production data, reservoir data, and pump data with the total production rate ( $Q_t$ ) of 254,663 BFPD, the oil production rate ( $Q_o$ ) of 164,211 BOPD, the water cut of 34,2%, the pump stride speed ( $N$ ) of 5 SPM, and the pump stride ( $S$ ) of 120 inches, the pump volumetric efficiency obtained was 92,32% with pump capacity of 275,850 BFPD.

**Keywords:** Pump Volumetric Efficiency, Pump Displacement, Production, Artificial Lift and Hydraulic Pumping Unit.

### INTRODUCTION

Petroleum owned by a field over time will experience a decrease in production rates and reduced reservoir pressure that serves to raise fluid up naturally, therefore when a well's oil and gas production has experienced a decrease in production rates and pressure, it is necessary to carry out further activities to maintain and increase the production of a well. In oil and gas production activities from a well can be done in two ways, namely by the natural flow method (natural blowout wells) and artificial lift (artificial lift method). Natural blowout wells

themselves are a method of lifting fluid to the surface using power or pressure coming from the reservoir or formation of the well itself, while artificial lift is used when the reservoir pressure is no longer able to produce fluid naturally anymore because the pressure in the well has decreased pressure.

Artificial lift itself has various types, such as: Gas Lift, Sucker Rod Pump (SRP), Hydraulic Pumping Unit (HPU), Progressing Cavity Pump (PCP), Jet Pump and Electric Submersible Pump (ESP). The use of each artificial lift is adjusted to the well conditions in a field. As one example of artificial lift installation activities is: hpu

installation, where HPU is suitable for use in shallow well depths such as data on the Budi#04 well with a depth of 650 m. And wells in the sandstone zone as in the condition of the existing wells in the Budi field.

## METHOD

### Data Capture Stage

The research is organized in several steps and stages, as follows:

- Literature study is carried out as an initial stage of solving a problem by using a literature approach to problems related to the writing of this final project, which is in the form of theory, data processing formulation, discussion and problem solving as well as archives from the company for the data that will be needed.
- In this method, researchers conducted direct discussions with field supervisors and parties related to information collection activities.
- The data used in this study were obtained through daily production data and company archives related to increasing HPU pump production at the BUDI#04 Well.

### Data Calculation Stage

To perform optimization, it is necessary to evaluate first to determine whether the well has reached volumetric efficiency (>70%). If it has not been achieved, it is necessary to optimize the well to achieve volumetric efficiency to reach the optimum production rate of the well by simply increasing or decreasing the stroke per minute (SPM) and stroke length (SL) of the pump or by changing the size of the plunger and repairing damaged pumping equipment.

No	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
1.	Depth of Well	ID	2132	Ft
2.	Middle of Perforation	H	1583,42	Ft
3.	Static of Fluid Level	SFL	1025	Ft
4.	Dynamic of Fluid Level	DFL	1125	Ft

## RESULT

The BUDI#04 well is an oil production well managed by PT Tately N.V, currently the well is producing using the Hydraulic Pumping Unit method.

### Reservoir Data

No	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
1.	SG Oil	-	0,7759	-
2.	SG Water	-	1,04	-
3.	<sup>0</sup> API	-	50,9	-

### Production Data

No	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
1.	Flow Rate	Qo	164,211	BOPD
2.	Total Flow Rate	Qt	254,663	BFPD
3.	Water Cut	WC	34,2	%
4.	Static of Fluid Level	SFL	1025	Ft
5.	Dynamic of Fluid Level	DFL	1125	Ft

### Pump Data

No	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
1.	Stepping Speed	SPM	5	SPM
2.	Step Length	SL	120	Inch
3.	Pump Type	Hidrolik	-	-
4.	Depth of Pump	L	1476	Ft
5.	Diameter of Tubing	OD Tubing	$2\frac{7}{8}$	Inch
6.	Diameter of Rod	R	$2\frac{7}{8}$	Inch
7.	Diameter of Plunger		2	Inch
8.	Sevice Factors	SF	0,65	-
9.	Crank Pitman Ratio	C/P	0,33	-
10.	Minimum of Tensile Strength	T	115000	Psi
11.	Modulus Elastic	E	3000000	-

### Evaluation Calculation

In calculating the evaluation of the pump in the installed condition, the following calculation steps are required :

- Determine the SG of mixed fluid ( $SG_{mix}$ )  

$$SG_{mix} = (1,04 \times WC) + ((1 - WC) \times SG_o)$$

$$= (1,04 \times 0,342) + ((1 - 0,342) \times 0,7759)$$

$$= 0,866.$$
 Fluid Gradient =  $SG_{mix} \times 0,433$

$$= 0,866 \times 0,433$$

$$= 0,375 \text{ psi/ft.}$$

- b. Static pressure determination (Ps)  
 $Ps = (\text{mid perforasi} - \text{SFL}) \times 0,433 \times SG_{\text{mix}}$   
 $= (1583,42 - 1025) \times 0,433 \times 0,866$   
 $= 209,449 \text{ psi.}$
- c. Determination of well bottom flow pressure (Pwf)  
 $Pwf = (\text{mid perforasi} - \text{DFL}) \times 0,433 \times SG_{\text{mix}}$   
 $= (1583,42 - 1125) \times 0,433 \times 0,866$   
 $= 171,942 \text{ psi.}$
- d. Determination of productivity index (PI)  
 $PI = \frac{Q}{PS - Pwf}$   
 $= 6,790 \text{ STB/day/psi}$
- e. Determination of Ar, M, At, Ap, dan K  
  - Rod diameter  $\frac{7}{8}$  inch get the price :  
 Rod area (Ar) = 0,601 inch<sup>2</sup>  
 Rod weight per foot (M) = 2,22 lb/ft
  - Meter  $2\frac{7}{8}$  inch get the price :  
 Tubing area (At) = 1,812 inch<sup>2</sup>
  - Plunger diameter 2 inch get the price :  
 Plunger area (Ap) = 3,142 inch  
 Konstanta (K) = 0,466 bpd/in/spm
- f. Determine the weight of the rod (Wr)  
 $Wr = \text{rod length (L)} \times \text{rod weight / feet (M)}$   
 $= 1476 \times 2,22.$   
 $= 3276,72 \text{ lb}$
- g. Determine the acceleration factor ( $\alpha$ )  
 $\alpha = \frac{S \cdot N}{70500}$   
 $\alpha = 0,043$
- h. Determine the maximum polished rod load (Wmax)  
 $W_{\text{max}} = (1 + \alpha) Wr + Wf$   
 $= (1 + 0,043) 3276,72 + 1378,111$   
 $= 4794,266 \text{ lb.}$
- i. Determine of minimum polished rod load (Wmin)  
 $W_{\text{min}} = Wr (1 - \alpha - (0,107 \times SG_{\text{mix}}))$   
 $= 3276,72 (1 - 0,043 - (0,107 \times 0,866))$   
 $= 2833,580 \text{ lb.}$
- j. Determine of maximum stress (Smax)  
 $S_{\text{max}} = \frac{W_{\text{max}}}{Ar}$   
 $S_{\text{max}} = \frac{4794,266}{0,601}$   
 $= 7977,148 \text{ psi}$
- k. Determine of minimum stress (Smin)  
 $S_{\text{min}} = \frac{W_{\text{min}}}{Ar}$   
 $S_{\text{min}} = \frac{2833,580}{0,601}$   
 $= 4714,775 \text{ Psi}$
- l. Determine of allowable stress (SA) :  
 $SA = (\frac{T}{4} + 0,5625 \times S_{\text{min}}) \times Sf$

$$= (\frac{115000}{4} + 0,5625 \times 4714,775) \times 0,65$$

$$= 20408,275 \text{ psi.}$$

- m. Determine of rod length + stretch of tubing (er + et)  
  - $er = \frac{5,2 \times SG_{\text{Mix}} \times DFL \times Ap \times L}{E \times Ar}$   
 $er = \frac{5,2 \times 0,866 \times 1125 \times 3,142 \times 1,476}{30000000 \times 0,601}$   
 $er = 1,303 \text{ inch}$
  - $et = \frac{5,2 \times SG_{\text{Mix}} \times DFL \times Ap \times L}{E \times At}$   
 $et = \frac{5,2 \times 0,866 \times 1125 \times 3,142 \times 1,476}{30000000 \times 0,812}$   
 $et = 0,432 \text{ inch.}$
- n. Determine of overtravel plunger (ep)  
 $ep = \frac{40,8 \times L^2 \times a}{E}$   
 $ep = \frac{40,8 \times 1476^2 \times 0,043}{30000000}$   
 $ep = 0,126 \text{ inch}$
- o. Determine of effect counter balance ideal (Ci)  
 $Ci = \frac{W_{\text{max}} + W_{\text{min}}}{2}$   
 $= \frac{9794,266 + 2833,50}{2}$   
 $= 3813,923 \text{ lb}$
- p. Determine of maximum torch (Tp)  
 $Tp = (W_{\text{max}} - 0,95 \times Ci) \times S \times 0,5$   
 $= (9794,266 - 0,95 \times 3813,923) \times 120 \times 0,5$   
 $= 70262,361 \text{ in/lb}$
- q. Determine of Net Lift Pump (LN)  
 $LN = L - \frac{Pwf}{0,433 \times SG_{\text{Mix}}}$   
 $= 1476 - \frac{171,492}{0,433 \times 0,866}$   
 $= 1132,03 \text{ ft}$
- r. Determine of stroke plunger effective (Sp)  
 $Sp = S + ep - (et + er)$   
 $= 120 + 0,126 - (0,432 + 1,303)$   
 $= 118,390 \text{ inch}$
- s. Determine of displacement pump (V)  
 $V = K \times Sp \times N$   
 $= 0,466 \times 118,390 \times 5$   
 $= 275,850 \text{ BFPD}$
- t. Determine of pump volumetric efficiency (Ev)  
 $Ev = \frac{Qt}{V} \times 100 \%$   
 $= \frac{254,663}{275,850} \times 100 \%$   
 $= 92,32 \%$
- u. Determine of prime mover horsepower  
  - Hydraulic horse power (Hh)  
 $Hh = (7,36 \times 10^{-6}) \times Q \times SG_{\text{Mix}} \times LN$   
 $= (7,36 \times 10^{-6}) \times 254,663 \times 0,866 \times 1132,03$   
 $= 1,838 \text{ HP}$
  - Friction horse power (Hf)  
 $Hf = (6,31 \times 10^{-7}) \times Wr \times S \times N$   
 $= (6,31 \times 10^{-7}) \times 3.276,72 \times 120 \times 5$

- = 1,241 HP
- Break horse power (Hb)
- Hb = 1,5 x ( Hh + Hf )
- = 1,5 x ( 1,838 + 1,241 )
- = 4,618 HP

Table 1. Calculation Results of Hydraulic Pumping Unit Evaluation at Wells Budi#04

No	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
1.	SG Mix	SGmix	0,866	-
2.	Fluid Gradient	GF	0,375	psi/ft
3.	Static Pressure	Ps	209,44 9	psi
4.	Well Bottom Flow Pressure	Pwf	171,94 2	psi
5.	Productivity Index	PI	6,790	STB/ day/p si
6.	Rod Area	Ar	0,601	Inch <sup>2</sup>
7.	Rod Weight Per Foot	M	2,22	lb/ft
8.	Tubing Area	At	1,812	Inch <sup>2</sup>
9.	Plunger Area	Ap	3,142	Inch <sup>2</sup>
10.	Konstanta	K	0,466	Bpd/i n/spm
11.	Weight of Rod	Wr	3276,7 2	lb
12.	Fluid of Weight	Wf	1378,1 11	lb
13.	Acceleration Factor	a	0,043	-
14.	Maximum Polished Rod Load	Wmax	4794,2 66	lb
15.	Minimum Polished Rod Load	Wmin	2833,5 80	lb
16.	Maximum Stress	Smax	7977,1 48	psi
17.	Minimum Stress	Smin	4714,7 75	Psi
18.	Strees Allowable	SA	20408, 275	psi
19.	Rod Strecth	er	1,303	Inch
20.	Tubing Strech	et	0,432	Inch
21.	Rod Long + Tubing strech	er + et	1,736	Inch
22.	Plunger Overtravel	ep	0,126	Inch
23.	Counter Balance Efect Ideal	Ci	3813,9 23	lb

24.	Maximum of Torch	Tp	70262, 361	In-lb
25.	Net Lift of Pump	LN	1132,0 3	ft
26.	Stroke Plunger Effective	Sp	118,39 0	Inch
27.	Pump Displacement	V	275,85 0	BPD
28.	Pump Volumetric Efficiency	Ev	92,32	%
29.	Hidrolik Horse Power	Hh	1,838	HP
30.	Friction Horse Power	Hf	1,241	HP
31.	Break Horse Power	Hb	4,618	HP

## DISCUSSION

With the pump specification data installed in the BUDI#04 well in the Budi Tately N.V. field, it is known that the type of pump used is hydraulic type with tubing size 2 7/8 inch, sucker rod diameter 7/8 inch, plunger diameter 2 inch, pump depth 1476 ft.

The evaluation stage includes calculating the volumetric efficiency of the pump using reservoir data, well data, production data and pump data. The results of the pump efficiency calculation show that the pump settings (N value of 5 SPM and S value of 120 inch) can produce a production rate of 164.211 BOPD. After analysis, it is known that Well BUDI#04 does not need to be optimized because based on calculations it has a volumetric efficiency of 92.32%, meaning that it has exceeded the minimum volumetric efficiency of the pump to work optimally, which is 70%.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the calculation of the Hydraulic Pumping Unit at Well BUDI #04 Budi Field which has been carried out at Tately N.V, it can be concluded :

1. After the comparison between the condition of the well when it was still natural flow which was initially able to produce (150 BOPD and 230 BLPD with water cut around 0.2%) decreased to (11 BOPD and 19 BLPD) and after the well was installed HPU, the well production increased again to (100 - 190 BOPD and 250-300 BLPD with water cut around 0.3%).
2. Production at Well BUDI#04 can be said to be optimal because based on the results of the evaluation carried out on the sucker rod pump installed conditions, the volumetric efficiency is above 70%, namely 92.32%.

3. The production of Well BUDI#04 successfully increased after installing the HPU pump with a percentage of 59%.

#### REFERENCE

- Brown, Kermit E. 1977. "The Technology Of Artificial Lift Methods." Volume 1 Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Fitrianti. 2013. "Perencanaan Pengangkatan Buatan Dengan Sistem Pemompaan Berdasarkan Data Karakteristik Reservoir." *Journal Of Earth Energi Engineering*.
- Henra, Hartono, Ibrahim Eddy, dan Yusuf Maulana. 2014. "Evaluasi Penggunaan Sucker Rod Pump Pada Sumur RB-36 RB-91, Dan RB-135 Dengan Menggunakan Data Sonolog Dan Dynamometer Untuk Meningkatkan Produksi Di PT.Pertamina EP Asset 1 Field Ramba."
- Indonesia, Kementerian Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Republik. 2013. *PK.Teknik Produksi Migas*. Jakarta: Pusat Kurikulum Dan Perbukuan Kemendikbud - Gramedia Digital Indonesia.
- Latifah, Khalik, Elfistoni Azdy, dan Zubir Andrey. 2020. "Evaluasi Dan Optimasi Pada Sucker Rod Pump (SRP) Dengan Menggunakan Penggerak Tipe Hydraulic Pumping Unit (HPU) Pada Sumur Latifah 1 Terhadap Peningkatan Produksi Fluida Di Lapangan Meruap PT.KSO Pertamina EPSamudra Energi BWP Meruap Sarolangun Jambi." *Mine Magazine (MineMagz) Volume 1, Nomor 1 1-7*.
- Sima, Nirwana, Firdaus, dan Jan Friadi Sinaga. 2022. "Optimasi Hydraulic Pumping Unit Pada Sumur WN-98 Lapangan X." *PETROGAS Volume 4 Nomor 1 47-56*.